AUN/SEED-Net **jiCA**

8th AUN/SEED-Net
REGIONAL CONFERENCE ON ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
Envision, Enable, and Empower
Smarter and Resilient Societies

co-located with

11th ERDT Conference
on Semiconductor and Electronics, Information
and Communications Technology and Energy

16-17 November 2015
Metro Manila, Philippines

Proceedings of the 8th AUN/SEED-Net RCEEE 2015 and 11th ERDT Conference on Semiconductor and Electronics, Information and Communications Technology, and Energy

Editors:
Dr. Joel Joseph S. Marciano Jr.
Dr. Jhoanna Rhodette I. Pedrasa
Dr. Rhandley D. Cajote

8th AUN/SEED-Net Regional Conference
on Electrical and Electronics Engineering 2015

co-located with

11th ERDT Conference
on Semiconductor and Electronics, Information and Communications Technology, and Energy

Envision, Enable and Empower Smarter and Resilient Societies

OPTIMAL PLACEMENT OF PHASOR MEASUREMENT UNITS (PMU) FOR THE POWER TRANSMISSION SYSTEM

Huy. Nguyen-Duc[1] *, Thanh. Bui Dang[2], and Phuong. Nguyen Huy[3]

[1]Department of Electric power system, School of Electrical Engineering,
Hanoi University of Science and Technology, VIETNAM.
[2]Department of Instrumentation and Industrial Informatics, School of Electrical Engineering,
Hanoi university of Science and Technology, VIETNAM.
[3]Department of Industrial Automation, School of Electrical Engineering,
Hanoi University of Science and Technology, VIETNAM.
*E-mail: huy.nguyenduc1@hust.edu.vn

ABSTRACT

The observability of power system topology, as well as real time information of power flows in the power network plays a crucial role in determining correct and appropriate dispatching actions. The advent of Phasor Measurement Units (PMU) can potentially improve the accuracy of state estimation, and the overall observability of the network. The fundamental difference between PMU and legacy SCADA technology is that not only bus voltage magnitude can be measured, the relative angle difference between system buses can also be measured by the PMU, thanks to the very high accuracy of the Coordinated Universal Time provided by its GPS signal [1]. Not only PMU provides better real-time power system information, PMU data can help improve the accuracy of post-disturbance analysis, especially during large-scale system events.

The installation of large number of PMU at power system buses, however, requires thorough consideration. An effective PMU placement strategy can minimize the number of PMU, while still ensuring full system observability even if some of the PMU devices become defective during operation. This paper studies the optimization problem of PMU placement on the transmission system.

The main objective function of PMU placement is as follows [3, 4]:

$$min \quad F = \sum_{i \in I} x_i - \lambda . \sum_{i \in I} f_i$$

Where: $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sum_{i \in I} u_i}$; I is the set of system buses that need to be observed; x_i is a binary variable indicating whether a PMU unit is placed at bus i; f_i is the number of time bus i is observed with current PMU placement (for important buses, f_i can be greater than 1).

In this work, we also take into account some other considerations for PMU placement:
The bus with no load (so called Zero Injection Bus) is considered. With only one PMU, this bus and its two adjacent buses can be observed. This consideration helps reduce the number of PMU.
The loss of certain branches in the network is considered; The full system observability will be ensured even with an outage of one of these branches.

The optimization problem is formulated as an Integer Programming problem, and is solved using the CPLEX software [6]. The result obtained for several standard power system models is shown in the Table 1 below:

Table 1. PMU placement result for some standard power system models.

Power system model	Number of PMU	PMU buses
IEEE − 14	3	2, 6, 9
IEEE − 30	6	1, 10, 12, 19, 24, 27
IEEE − 57	11	1, 4, 13, 20, 25, 29, 32, 38, 51, 54, 56
IEEE − 118	28	3, 9, 11, 12, 17, 21, 23, 28, 34, 37, 40, 45, 49, 52, 56, 62, 72, 75, 77, 80, 85, 87, 90, 94, 101, 105, 110, 115

References

[1] A. G. Phadke, "Synchronized phasor measurements in power systems", *IEEE Computer Applications in Power*, Vol. 6, Issue 2, pp. 10-15, April 1993.

[2] Working Group for Investment in Reliable and Economic Electric Systems (WIRES), Smart Transmission Report, 2011. [Online]. http://files.eesi.org/wires_smart_transmission_010011.pdf.

[3] S. Chakrabarti, E. Kyriakides, and D. G. Eliades, "Placement of synchronized measurements for power system observability", *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 12-19, Jan. 2009.

[4] M. Esmaili, K. Gharani, and H. A. Shayanfar, "Redundant Observability PMU Placement in the Presece of Flow Measurements Considering Contingencies", *IEEE Trans. Power Del*, vol. 28, no. 4, Nov. 2013.

[5] Power Systems Test Case Archive [Online]. https://www.ee.washington.edu/research/pstca/

[6] CPLEX Software Package. [Online]. http://www.ibm.com/developerworks/downloads/ws/ilogcplex/